|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Planetary Sustainability**  Journal Homepage: https://planetsust.umt.edu.my  eISSN: 3009-0105  DOI: <http://doi.org/10.46754/....> | |  |
| **Biochar in Concrete: A Pathway to Eco-Friendly Building Practices**  ALIREZA SHAFIZADEH1\*  *1* *Department of Agricultural Machinery, Faculty of Agriculture, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran*  *\*Corresponding author: a.shafizadeh@ut.ac.ir* | | | |
| **HIGHLIGHTS** | | **GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT** | |
| * Biochar reduces concrete’s CO2 footprint and enhances hydration and long-term strength. * Incorporating biochar in concrete promotes sustainable construction practices. * Biochar acts as a carbon sink, effectively sequestering carbon within concrete structures. * Future research should focus on optimizing biochar dosage and feedstock properties for concrete. * Biochar reduces drying shrinkage, improving the durability and lifespan of concrete. | |  | |
| **ARTICLE INFO** | | **ABSTRACT** | |
| ***Article History:***  *Received: 1 June 2024 Accepted: 18 June 2024*  *Published: 24 June 2024*  ***Keywords:***  *Biochar; Concrete production; Carbon sequestration; Sustainable construction; CO2 emissions reduction; Cement replacement.* | | Global warming, driven by rising atmospheric greenhouse gas levels, necessitates a paradigm shift in the construction industry, a major contributor to CO2 emissions. Concrete, a cornerstone of modern construction, is responsible for a significant portion of global CO2 emissions due to the high carbon footprint of cement, a key ingredient. Biochar, a charcoal-like material produced from pyrolyzed organic waste, offers a multifaceted approach to mitigating the environmental impact of concrete by reducing CO2 emissions during production, sequestering carbon within the concrete structure, and potentially enhancing concrete properties. This paper explores the definition and production methodologies of biochar, its physical and chemical properties, and the effects of incorporating biochar into concrete mixes on various concrete properties, including rheology, hydration, setting time, mechanical strength, shrinkage, and durability. Additionally, it discusses the substantial environmental benefits of using biochar in concrete production, particularly its role in carbon sequestration. The findings suggest that biochar holds significant potential for the construction industry to adopt more sustainable practices. | |
| © UMT Press | | | |

**Introduction**

Global warming poses a significant threat to our planet, driven by the relentless rise of atmospheric greenhouse gas levels, primarily carbon dioxide (Florides and Christodoulides, 2009). This insidious issue disrupts weather patterns, causes unprecedented ice melt, and inexorably raises sea levels, threatening the very fabric of our existence. These dire environmental consequences pose an immediate threat to ecosystems, livelihoods, and the future of human civilization (Atkinson, 2014). This alarming scenario calls for immediate action and innovative solutions across all sectors, including the construction industry, which plays a crucial role in shaping our built environment.

Concrete is a universal material born from the union of cement, sand, gravel, and water; it has been the cornerstone of human progress in building (Ashley and Lemay, 2008). Concrete is central to every building block of modern society (Tomosawa *et al*., 2005). It is used not only in elaborate architectural projects but also in utilitarian construction works. From soaring skyscrapers housing businesses and communities to sprawling bridges connecting vast expanses and mighty dams supplying water and electricity, concrete has played a central role. This versatile material offers an excellent combination of properties, being incomparably strong and durable with a long lifespan. Concrete can effectively resist aggressive environments, such as exposure to freezing and thawing, and external elements like ions (chloride and sulfate), gases (CO2), and water (C. Li *et al*., 2023). Its relative affordability also makes concrete an accessible building material for all sorts of projects. However, the environmental cost associated with concrete production, particularly the carbon footprint of cement as its key ingredient, casts a long shadow over its otherwise impressive application (Mostert *et al*., 2022).

Concrete production is one of the largest sources of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, central to the current climate crisis. GHG emissions from the cement industry are significant (0.8–1.3 tonnes of CO2 per tonne of cement) (Andrew, 2018), accounting for about 8% of global annual CO2 emissions (Glenk *et al*., 2023). The process is inherently energy-intensive, with primary energy demand supplied through the combustion of fossil fuels to heat limestone (a critical raw material) to high temperatures for calcination, releasing large amounts of CO2 into the atmosphere (Hasanbeigi *et al*., 2012). Other hazardous emissions also contribute to air pollution through the calcination decomposition process of limestone (Karstensen *et al*., 2016). The ecological footprint of concrete extends beyond production, with large resource demands in concrete manufacture through aggregate material quarrying. The immense volume of concrete required for mega projects further exacerbates resource demand.

In view of the environmental concerns, researchers and industrialists have been striving to reduce the adverse impacts of cement manufacture and concrete consumption. An obvious pathway forward involves addressing inefficiencies in the manufacturing process itself. Shifting to energy-efficient technologies and alternative fuels for calcination can drastically reduce CO2 emissions (Naqi and Jang, 2019). Efficiency improvements can be achieved through advanced design methodologies for the concrete value chain (Habert *et al*., 2020), minimizing waste, maximizing efficiency, and recycling concrete waste to reduce its carbon footprint (Ho *et al*., 2021). Additionally, adopting prefabrication increases the likelihood of controlled production environments and reduces the environmental impact associated with on-site activities (Lu and Yuan, 2013). Another promising approach is using alternative materials with lower embodied carbon contents, such as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). Many SCMs are byproducts of other industrial processes and can partially replace cement in concrete mixes, contributing to a greener production chain (Lothenbach *et al*., 2011).

SCMs can be sourced from several avenues. One effective method is recycling construction and demolition waste, which mitigates the negative environmental impact of these materials. These recycled and waste materials can be used to partially or completely replace the binder and/or aggregate components in cementitious composites. Additionally, waste materials from other industries, such as fly ash, can serve as SCMs (Juenger and Siddique, 2015). Fly ash, a byproduct of coal combustion in power plants, acts as a pozzolanic material, reacting with calcium hydroxide (a byproduct of hydration in Portland cement) in the presence of moisture to form calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H). This strong binding gel enhances the strength and durability of concrete (Giergiczny, 2019).

Another valuable SCM is ground granulated blast furnace slag, which is used as a partial replacement for Portland cement in concrete. This SCM improves concrete strength over time and enhances resistance to cracking, chloride penetration, and sulfate attack, making the concrete more durable. It also reduces the heat of hydration, minimizing the risk of cracking, and lowers shrinkage and creep, thereby reducing permeability (Qu *et al*., 2022). Waste glass powder and red mud are other industrial wastes that have been beneficially utilized in geopolymers to improve concrete microstructure and strength. Overall, the use of such materials reduces the negative impact of cementitious composites and decreases greenhouse gas emissions by lowering cement consumption during concrete production (Hu *et al*., 2020).

In addition to industrial waste or recycled materials, other SCMs derived from biomass sources are being integrated into concrete production to reduce its environmental impact. Agricultural wastes, such as wheat straw ash, sugar cane ash, and corn cob ash, have been explored to enhance concrete performance due to their increased pozzolanic activity from amorphous SiO2 (Tayeh *et al*., 2021). Beyond agricultural waste ashes, biomass-origin materials like biochar—produced by heating organic waste in an oxygen-limited environment—emerge as revolutionary components with the potential to transform concrete production. This charcoal-like substance offers a threefold benefit: reducing the environmental footprint of concrete, improving its properties, and serving as an effective tactic for CO2 sequestration when mixed into the concrete (Buss *et al*., 2019).

The undeniable reality of climate change and global warming necessitates a paradigm shift in the construction industry, a major contributor to greenhouse gas emissions. Concrete, a cornerstone of modern infrastructure, offers immense strength and durability but carries a significant environmental burden due to the carbon footprint associated with cement production. This paper explores the promising solution of biochar, covering its definition and production methodologies. In the following sections, the application of biochar in concrete production is discussed, detailing how biochar can be incorporated into concrete mixes either as a partial replacement for cement or as a lightweight aggregate. Additionally, the paper examines the positive effects of biochar in improving concrete properties, including strength, workability, and durability, and its potential to produce self-healing concrete with an extended lifespan and reduced maintenance requirements. Finally, the impact of biochar use in lessening the carbon footprint and enhancing carbon sequestration during concrete production is analyzed.

**Biochar definition and methodologies of production**

Biochar is defined as the solid material obtained from the thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment. Various feedstocks can be used for biochar production, resulting in a stable material that does not readily decompose and can store carbon for thousands of years, making it an effective method for carbon sequestration (Leng *et al*., 2019). Several conventional practices are employed to produce biochar, with the most well-known thermochemical conversion methodologies being pyrolysis, gasification, and hydrothermal carbonization (HTC). During these conversion processes, biochar is produced either as the main product or as a byproduct (Emenike *et al*., 2024).

Pyrolysis is a thermochemical conversion technique that breaks down organic materials like biomass through high heat in an oxygen-limited environment. Unlike burning, which requires oxygen and produces energy and ash, pyrolysis decomposes the material into new products, including solid biochar, condensable liquids (bio-oil), and non-condensable gases (a mixture of combustible gases like methane and hydrogen) known as syngas. The outputs of pyrolysis depend on the feedstock composition and operational parameters such as temperature, heating rate, residence time, and the presence of catalysts during the process (Figure 1) (Kan *et al*., 2016). Pyrolysis can be categorized into three main types: slow, fast, and flash pyrolysis. Slow pyrolysis occurs at the lowest heating rate (around 10°C/min), moderate temperatures (400‒600°C), and the longest residence time (hours to days), primarily producing biochar with minimal bio-oil and syngas (Waheed *et al*., 2013). In contrast, fast and flash pyrolysis occur at higher heating rates and temperatures, with significantly shorter residence times (minutes to seconds or milliseconds), resulting in bio-oil and syngas as the main products and significantly less biochar (Ighalo *et al*., 2022).




Figure 1. Biomass pyrolysis process equipment line and resulting products (Pandey *et al*., 2019).

Gasification is another thermochemical process that transforms carbonaceous materials. Like pyrolysis, gasification occurs in a controlled environment with limited oxygen, but it uses higher temperatures, close to 1000°C (Molino *et al*., 2016; Sikarwar *et al*., 2016). In this process, the feedstock characteristics and operational parameters—including temperature, equivalence ratio, steam-to-biomass ratio, system pressure, and the type and amount of catalyst (if used)—are crucial factors that determine the yield and proportion of outcomes, including syngas and biochar as the main and byproducts of the process (Sikarwar *et al*., 2016).

HTC is an exothermic process facilitated by subjecting a suspension of biomass and water to temperatures ranging from 180 to 220°C under saturated pressure for several hours (Pavkov *et al*., 2022). During HTC, the levels of both oxygen and hydrogen within the feed material are reduced, while several reaction mechanisms, including hydrolysis, dehydration, decarboxylation, polymerization, and aromatization, occur. The most important factors determining the product yield and quality in this technique are temperature, residence time, pressure, heating rate, reactant concentration, and aqueous quality (Wang et *et al*., 2018). The products of HTC include a solid phase of biochar that can be easily isolated from the liquid phase. The biochar produced typically retains approximately 75% to 80% of the carbon input (Oliveira *et al*., 2013).

**Physical and chemical properties of biochar**

One of the notable physical properties of biochar is its large surface area. The release of volatile matter during the thermochemical conversion process leads to the formation of pores of various sizes. The pore structure, quantity, arrangement, compactness, and porosity of biochar change with increasing pyrolysis temperature (Leng *et al*., 2021). Additionally, the source of biochar significantly influences the pore structure and surface area (Muzyka *et al*., 2023). For instance, biomass from softwood displays elongated and fibrillar structures (Ghani *et al*., 2013), while biochar derived from cork exhibits ridges and honeycomb pores (Wang *et al*., 2022). Biochar particles can range from 5 μm to 5000 μm, covering a wide range of pore sizes: micropores (less than 2 nm), mesopores (between 2–50 nm), and macropores (more than 50 nm) (He *et al*., 2018; Pastor-Villegas *et al*., 2010). This property means a significant fraction of biochar particles is within the range of cement particles (less than 50 µm), and grinding can further enhance the compatibility of biochar with cement particles (Gupta *et al*., 2020b).

Chemically, biochar is composed of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen, and it contains small amounts of heavy metals and inorganic minerals such as calcium and magnesium (Giri *et al*., 2020). The carbon content contributes to the hardness and toughness of biochar, which is important when mixing it into cement mortar (Maljaee *et al*., 2021a). The pH of biochar can vary depending on the feedstock and production conditions, ranging from slightly acidic to slightly alkaline (Bopp *et al*., 2016). Additionally, biochar can act like a sponge for cations (positively charged ions) like calcium, magnesium, and potassium due to its cation exchange capacity. A higher C/O ratio in biochar implies the presence of more oxygenated functional groups, such as hydroxyl, carboxylate, and carbonyl, which may explain its high cation exchange capacity values, indicating a more negatively charged surface (Pravina Kamini G. *et al*., 2023). Moreover, the surface of biochar is adorned with various functional groups like hydroxyl (-OH), carboxyl (-COOH), and other carbon-based functional groups (Tan *et al*., 2021).

**Effect of biochar mixing on different aspects of concrete properties**

The addition of biochar to cement mortar can significantly influence several parameters, ultimately affecting the properties of concrete. Mixing biochar with concrete impacts the rheological properties of cement mortar, including static stress (the minimum stress required to initiate flow), dynamic yield stress (the stress needed to maintain continuous flow), plastic viscosity (the internal resistance to flow), stability (the resistance of mortar to separation and settling of its components), and compatibility (Gupta *et al*., 2022; Libre *et al*., 2010; Moeini *et al*., 2022; Petit *et al*., 2009; Rubio-Hernández *et al*., 2020). These parameters can vary based on the particle size, dosage, and porosity of the biochar used in the biochar-cement mortar mixture. The physical properties of biochar, such as surface area, density, porosity, morphology, and pore volume, also influence cement mortar characteristics (Gupta and Kua, 2019). Due to the complex interplay of these factors, identifying optimal conditions for combining biochar and cement is challenging. Generally, fine particle size biochar in cement can improve hydration, pore filling, and, consequently, the strength and durability of cement-based materials. However, fine biochar particles may also increase viscosity and affect workability, with the added consideration that producing finer biochar particles requires more energy, potentially offsetting environmental benefits (Zhou *et al*., 2023).

The blending ratio of biochar to cement is another critical factor. Maintaining the correct blending ratio is essential during processing, as it impacts the rheological characteristics of the mixture, directly influencing bonding and strength (Mensah *et al*., 2021). Workability decreases in samples with higher levels of biochar due to its filler effect and higher water absorption capacity. Consequently, significant amounts of biochar can disrupt the flow of cement paste (Maljaee *et al*., 2021b). The rheological properties of biochar-incorporated cementitious mixtures are influenced by biochar particle size, with micro-sized particles being more effective than nanosized particles due to superior water absorption, reduced water-to-cement ratio, and densification effects (Wei *et al*., 2002). Additionally, the higher surface area per unit volume of nanosized biochar particles suggests a greater presence of atoms and molecules on the surface, enhancing contact and adhesion between the cement matrix and biochar particles.

Besides particle size, the shape of biochar also significantly affects the properties of cementitious composites. Angular biochar particles hinder the movement of biochar-infused cement paste, resulting in reduced spread diameter in flow table tests. The coarse texture of biochar particles promotes adhesion between the cement paste and biochar (Danish *et al*., 2021). Adding porous biochar particles to the mortar mixture can enhance the hydration process and contribute to a more durable final product. Biochar particles retain significant water in their pores, which is not chemically bonded to the biochar, making it readily available for the cement hydration process, particularly in the early stages of concrete production (Gupta and Kua, 2018). This reservoir effect continues to be beneficial as concrete cures, maintaining internal moisture crucial for ongoing hydration reactions and preventing shrinkage cracks (Muthukrishnan *et al*., 2019). Biochar acts as a built-in reservoir, releasing absorbed water to maintain a favorable moisture environment, promoting better long-term strength and durability.

The addition of biochar to cement mortar influences the setting time of concrete. The setting time of cement composites includes the initial and final setting times. The initial setting time marks when the cement mixture starts losing plasticity and transforms into a paste upon water addition (Ting *et al*., 2019). The final setting time represents when the material achieves a certain strength after completely losing plasticity (Guo *et al*., 2020). Biochar particles create a micro-filler effect, speeding up the hydration of cement by providing extra surface area for the formation and growth of hydration products. Consequently, both the initial and final setting times of mortar paste containing saturated and unsaturated biochar are decreased (Gupta *et al*., 2018). Higher dosages or more porous biochar, which absorb more water, are likely to slow down the initial and final setting times compared to smaller dosages due to delayed water availability for cement hydration (Jia *et al*., 2023).

In general, incorporating biochar into cement mortar mixtures offers both challenges and benefits. While the addition of biochar can improve hydration, strength, and durability, it also requires careful consideration of particle size, blending ratios, and the physical and chemical properties of the biochar. Understanding these factors and their interactions is essential for optimizing the use of biochar in concrete production, ultimately contributing to more sustainable construction practices and reducing the environmental impact of cement-based materials.

One of the most important phenomena in concrete is the hydration process, which plays a crucial role in determining its properties. When biochar is added, it significantly influences the hydration kinetics based on factors such as particle size, feedstock, and preparation conditions (Senadheera *et al*., 2023). Studies have shown that the inclusion of low-ash biochar causes a slight acceleration in cement hydration and an increase in the peak heat associated with tricalcium silicate hydration. This effect is attributed to the nucleation impact of biochar, which provides a surface area for the precipitation of hydration products (Guo *et al*., 2012). The hydration level can be increased by ensuring a continuous water supply to the cement paste, a process known as internal curing. This process requires using a water-saturated material such as biochar that can retain moisture for an extended period. The material used serves as a reservoir from which the hydrating cement paste can draw water as needed (Sirico *et al*., 2021). Additionally, due to their smaller size compared to cement particles, biochar granules can fill the gaps between the cement particles and other solid grains (Gupta and Kua, 2019). Moreover, the negative charges on the biochar particle surfaces attract the positively charged clinker grains, resulting in the formation of nucleation clusters and ultimately enhancing cement hydration (Gupta *et al*., 2021).

In contrast, the high ash content and the presence of phosphorus in biochar result in a significant decrease in the peak heat and slow down the hydration process. Phosphorus can dissolve and form an insoluble layer of calcium phosphate on the clinker grains. This layer acts as a barrier to moisture, restricting its interaction with the anhydrite clinker and consequently reducing the rate of hydration kinetics. During the early stages of hydration, the insulating properties of biochar can trap heat within the concrete, which might, in some cases, lead to a slight delay in peak heat dissipation (Guo *et al*., 2012). Preparing a mixture of biochar and mortar cement affects not only the concrete properties during the initial hours but also its long-term mechanical characteristics. The incorporation of biochar from lignocellulosic sources into mortar mixtures was found to increase compressive strength when Portland cement was replaced with biochar in small dosages (Qing *et al*., 2023). The increase in strength with the incorporation of biochar is connected to its higher absorption capacity, resulting in a lower binder ratio and a corresponding densified microstructure. The pore-filling ability of biochar particles also leads to a refinement of the microstructure, contributing to the higher strength observed (Qin *et al*., 2021).

However, when biochar is incorporated at higher dosages, the compressive strength is reduced. The reduction in compressive strength at higher dosages is attributed to the significant decrease in cement content, resulting in reduced product formation. It might also be due to the different particle sizes and internal pore sizes of biochar particles in the Portland cement mixture, which limits the production of calcium silicate hydrate. Nevertheless, as biochar possesses pozzolanic capabilities, further enhancements in compressive strength are expected in the long term (Yang and Wang, 2021). The decrease in compressive strength becomes more significant as the size of biochar particles is increased. However, as depicted in Figure 2, the compressive strength of all mixtures under 10% blending ratio remains above 20 MPa, irrespective of the type or content of biochar. These findings suggest that structural-grade concrete incorporating biochar remains suitable for structural applications (Sirico *et al*., 2022).




Figure 2. Average compressive strength for different biochar and cement mortar blending (Mensah *et al*., 2021).

The effect of biochar on the tensile strength of concrete is a complex issue with ongoing research. A very small dosage of biochar with a specific surface chemistry that promotes good adhesion with the cement paste might have a minimal impact or even a slight positive effect on tensile strength (Asadi Zeidabadi *et al*., 2018). Unlike compressive strength, which often benefits from biochar incorporation, the impact on tensile strength can be negative or minimal (Z. Li *et al*., 2023). This difference underscores the need for careful consideration of biochar dosage and properties when aiming to improve tensile strength. Another important parameter for concrete quality control is shrinkage properties. Concrete with a high amount of shrinkage is prone to cracks, which are unfavorable in concrete production. The addition of a small percentage of biochar has been observed to decrease the drying shrinkage of mortar while simultaneously increasing the plastic and dynamic viscosity of concrete due to the porosity of biochar (Gupta *et al*., 2020a). This characteristic makes biochar a beneficial additive for controlling shrinkage in cementitious composites.

The stable porous structure of biochar enables it to be utilized as an internal curing agent, effectively lowering the shrinkage of cementitious composites. The decrease in autogenous shrinkage resulting from the addition of biochar can be attributed to two potential mechanisms. First, the retention of water in the pores of biochar reduces the proportion of capillary pores filled with water. Second, the extraction of moisture from the pores of biochar helps alleviate the capillary stress induced in the cementitious matrix compared to pure cement mortar (Gupta and Kashani, 2021). These mechanisms highlight the dual role of biochar in enhancing the hydration process and reducing shrinkage, contributing to the overall durability and performance of concrete. By acting as a reservoir for water, biochar ensures a more consistent and prolonged hydration process, which is crucial for developing strength and minimizing defects in the concrete structure.

In general, while the addition of biochar to concrete presents challenges and requires precise optimization, its benefits in terms of compressive strength, shrinkage reduction, and internal curing make it a promising material for sustainable concrete production. Ongoing research is essential to fully understand the complex interactions between biochar and cementitious materials and to maximize the advantages of incorporating biochar into concrete mixes.

**Environmental effect of using biochar in concrete production**

Biochar, as a negative emission technology, can significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the construction industry when used in concrete. The carbon present in biomass can be transformed into a more stable form in biochar, which persists one to two orders of magnitude longer than its biomass precursor, resulting in long-term carbon sequestration (Arif *et al*., 2020). Biochar acts as a carbon sink, trapping the carbon it absorbed during its creation within the concrete structure. This captured carbon remains locked away for a very long time, potentially for centuries. By replacing a portion of cement with biochar, concrete manufacturers can effectively reduce the overall CO2 emissions associated with concrete production (Kharissova *et al*., 2024).

Studies have shown a 20% reduction in net CO2 emissions for cement mortar, where 40% of biochar replaced sand, compared to mortar without biochar (Gupta *et al*., 2018). The replacement of sand or cement with biochar in cementitious composites is also important due to the shortage of natural aggregates in construction and the need to reduce cement production, which is one of the largest sources of anthropogenic CO2 emissions. Therefore, incorporating biochar not only addresses material scarcity but also mitigates the carbon footprint of concrete production. Moreover, biochar in concrete plays a crucial role in reducing carbon dioxide emissions and actively capturing CO2 during the carbonation process. This results in the formation of stable carbonation products and the permanent immobilization of carbon. The rate at which CO2 diffuses through the pore solution of the cement matrix is vital for carbonation reactions, and the high surface area and porosity of the raw materials are essential for effective carbonation.

The high surface porosity of biochar and its ability to attract nonpolar compounds enable it to efficiently store and adsorb CO2 within the cement matrix. This capability enhances the rate of CO2 diffusion and promotes the development of hydration products. The synergistic mechanisms of carbon sequestration involve the contribution of biochar to both improving the physical properties of concrete and enhancing its capacity for CO2 capture and storage (Zaid *et al*., 2024). Generally, the use of biochar in concrete not only helps in reducing the environmental impact of the construction industry but also contributes to long-term carbon sequestration. This dual benefit of improving concrete properties while capturing and immobilizing carbon makes biochar an essential component in the move towards more sustainable construction practices.

**Conclusions**

Biochar, a substance resembling charcoal produced through the pyrolysis of organic waste, represents a promising solution for reducing the environmental impact of concrete production. This study delves into the physical and chemical properties of biochar, as well as the traditional thermal methods used to convert biomass into biochar, including pyrolysis, HTC, and gasification. The research examines the positive effects of incorporating biochar into concrete production, such as its ability to promote hydration, potentially enhance long-term strength, and reduce drying shrinkage. These findings underscore the significant potential of biochar to facilitate the shift of the construction industry toward more sustainable practices. Furthermore, the study considers the positive environmental contributions of biochar in the construction industry. Utilizing biochar as a filling material can yield substantial environmental benefits, including reduced CO2 emissions during production and enhanced carbon sequestration within the concrete structure. The partial replacement of cement with biochar is shown to significantly decrease the overall CO2 footprint. Biochar also acts as a carbon sink, effectively sequestering captured carbon for centuries. However, optimizing the use of biochar use in concrete requires further investigation. Future research should focus on identifying ideal dosage levels for various applications, tailoring biochar properties through different feedstocks and production methods, and conducting comprehensive life cycle assessments to understand its environmental impact throughout its lifespan. By addressing these areas, biochar can revolutionize concrete production, paving the way for a more sustainable and environmentally conscious construction industry.

**Acknowledgments**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all the individuals who contributed their time, expertise, and support to make this article possible. Your valuable insights and guidance have been instrumental in shaping the content of this work. Thank you for your unwavering dedication and commitment to this project.

**References**

Andrew, R.M., 2018. Global CO2 emissions from cement production. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 10, 195–217. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-195-2018

Arif, M., Jan, T., Riaz, M., Fahad, S., Adnan, M., Amanullah, Ali, K., Mian, I.A., Khan, B., Rasul, F., 2020. Biochar; a Remedy for Climate Change, in: Environment, Climate, Plant and Vegetation Growth. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 151–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49732-3\_8

Asadi Zeidabadi, Z., Bakhtiari, S., Abbaslou, H., Ghanizadeh, A.R., 2018. Synthesis, characterization and evaluation of biochar from agricultural waste biomass for use in building materials. Constr. Build. Mater. 181, 301–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.05.271

Ashley, E., Lemay, L., 2008. Concrete’s Contribution to Sustainable Development. J. Green Build. 3, 37–49. https://doi.org/10.3992/jgb.3.4.37

Atkinson, H., 2014. Planetary challenges: the agenda laid bare, in: The Challenge of Sustainability. Policy Press, pp. 11–42. https://doi.org/10.51952/9781447306474.ch001

Bopp, C., Christl, I., Schulin, R., Evangelou, M.W.H., 2016. Biochar as possible long-term soil amendment for phytostabilisation of TE-contaminated soils. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 17449–17458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6935-3

Buss, W., Jansson, S., Wurzer, C., Mašek, O., 2019. Synergies between BECCS and Biochar—Maximizing Carbon Sequestration Potential by Recycling Wood Ash. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 7, 4204–4209. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b05871

Danish, A., Ali Mosaberpanah, M., Usama Salim, M., Ahmad, N., Ahmad, F., Ahmad, A., 2021. Reusing biochar as a filler or cement replacement material in cementitious composites: A review. Constr. Build. Mater. 300, 124295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.124295

Emenike, E.C., Iwuozor, K.O., Ighalo, J.O., Bamigbola, J.O., Omonayin, E.O., Ojo, H.T., Adeleke, J., Adeniyi, A.G., 2024. Advancing the circular economy through the thermochemical conversion of waste to biochar: a review on sawdust waste-derived fuel. Biofuels 15, 433–447. https://doi.org/10.1080/17597269.2023.2255007

Florides, G.A., Christodoulides, P., 2009. Global warming and carbon dioxide through sciences. Environ. Int. 35, 390–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.07.007

Ghani, W.A.W.A.K., Mohd, A., da Silva, G., Bachmann, R.T., Taufiq-Yap, Y.H., Rashid, U., Al-Muhtaseb, A.H., 2013. Biochar production from waste rubber-wood-sawdust and its potential use in C sequestration: Chemical and physical characterization. Ind. Crops Prod. 44, 18–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.10.017

Giergiczny, Z., 2019. Fly ash and slag. Cem. Concr. Res. 124, 105826. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2019.105826

Giri, B.S., Goswami, M., Kumar, P., Yadav, R., Sharma, N., Sonwani, R.K., Yadav, S., Singh, R.P., Rene, E.R., Chaturvedi, P., Singh, R.S., 2020. Adsorption of Patent Blue V from Textile Industry Wastewater Using Sterculia alata Fruit Shell Biochar: Evaluation of Efficiency and Mechanisms. Water 12, 2017. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12072017

Glenk, G., Kelnhofer, A., Meier, R., Reichelstein, S., 2023. Cost-Efficient Decarbonization of Portland Cement Production. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3438395/v1

Guo, C., Zhu, J., Zhou, W., Sun, Z., Chen, W., 2012. Effect of phosphorus and fluorine on hydration process of tricalcium silicate and tricalcium aluminate. J. Wuhan Univ. Technol. Sci. Ed. 27, 333–336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11595-012-0462-y

Guo, J., Wang, L., Fan, K., Yang, B., 2020. An efficient model for predicting setting time of cement based on broad learning system. Appl. Soft Comput. 96, 106698. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106698

Gupta, S., Kashani, A., 2021. Utilization of biochar from unwashed peanut shell in cementitious building materials – Effect on early age properties and environmental benefits. Fuel Process. Technol. 218, 106841. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2021.106841

Gupta, S., Kashani, A., Mahmood, A.H., Han, T., 2021. Carbon sequestration in cementitious composites using biochar and fly ash – Effect on mechanical and durability properties. Constr. Build. Mater. 291, 123363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.123363

Gupta, S., Krishnan, P., Kashani, A., Kua, H.W., 2020a. Application of biochar from coconut and wood waste to reduce shrinkage and improve physical properties of silica fume-cement mortar. Constr. Build. Mater. 262, 120688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.120688

Gupta, S., Kua, H.W., 2019. Carbonaceous micro-filler for cement: Effect of particle size and dosage of biochar on fresh and hardened properties of cement mortar. Sci. Total Environ. 662, 952–962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.269

Gupta, S., Kua, H.W., 2018. Effect of water entrainment by pre-soaked biochar particles on strength and permeability of cement mortar. Constr. Build. Mater. 159, 107–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.10.095

Gupta, S., Kua, H.W., Low, C.Y., 2018. Use of biochar as carbon sequestering additive in cement mortar. Cem. Concr. Compos. 87, 110–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.12.009

Gupta, S., Kua, H.W., Pang, S.D., 2020b. Effect of biochar on mechanical and permeability properties of concrete exposed to elevated temperature. Constr. Build. Mater. 234, 117338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117338

Gupta, S., Tulliani, J.-M., Kua, H.W., 2022. Carbonaceous admixtures in cementitious building materials: Effect of particle size blending on rheology, packing, early age properties and processing energy demand. Sci. Total Environ. 807, 150884. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150884

Habert, G., Miller, S.A., John, V.M., Provis, J.L., Favier, A., Horvath, A., Scrivener, K.L., 2020. Environmental impacts and decarbonization strategies in the cement and concrete industries. Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. 1, 559–573. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0093-3

Hasanbeigi, A., Price, L., Lin, E., 2012. Emerging energy-efficiency and CO2 emission-reduction technologies for cement and concrete production: A technical review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 16, 6220–6238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.07.019

He, P., Liu, Y., Shao, L., Zhang, H., Lü, F., 2018. Particle size dependence of the physicochemical properties of biochar. Chemosphere 212, 385–392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.08.106

Ho, H.-J., Iizuka, A., Shibata, E., 2021. Chemical recycling and use of various types of concrete waste: A review. J. Clean. Prod. 284, 124785. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124785

Hu, L., He, Z., Zhang, S., 2020. Sustainable use of rice husk ash in cement-based materials: Environmental evaluation and performance improvement. J. Clean. Prod. 264, 121744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121744

Ighalo, J.O., Iwuchukwu, F.U., Eyankware, O.E., Iwuozor, K.O., Olotu, K., Bright, O.C., Igwegbe, C.A., 2022. Flash pyrolysis of biomass: a review of recent advances. Clean Technol. Environ. Policy 24, 2349–2363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-022-02339-5

Jia, Y., Li, H., He, X., Li, P., Wang, Z., 2023. Effect of biochar from municipal solid waste on mechanical and freeze–thaw properties of concrete. Constr. Build. Mater. 368, 130374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.130374

Juenger, M.C.G., Siddique, R., 2015. Recent advances in understanding the role of supplementary cementitious materials in concrete. Cem. Concr. Res. 78, 71–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.03.018

Kan, T., Strezov, V., Evans, T.J., 2016. Lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis: A review of product properties and effects of pyrolysis parameters. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 57, 1126–1140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.185

Karstensen, K.H., Engelsen, C.J., Ng, S., Saha, P.K., Malmedal, M.N., 2016. Cement Manufacturing and Air Quality. pp. 683–705. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.coac.2016.02.015

Kharissova, A.B., Kharissova, O. V., Kharisov, B.I., Méndez, Y.P., 2024. Carbon negative footprint materials: A review. Nano-Structures & Nano-Objects 37, 101100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoso.2024.101100

Leng, L., Huang, H., Li, H., Li, J., Zhou, W., 2019. Biochar stability assessment methods: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 647, 210–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.402

Leng, L., Xiong, Q., Yang, L., Li, Hui, Zhou, Y., Zhang, W., Jiang, S., Li, Hailong, Huang, H., 2021. An overview on engineering the surface area and porosity of biochar. Sci. Total Environ. 763, 144204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144204

Li, C., Li, J., Ren, Q., Zheng, Q., Jiang, Z., 2023. Durability of concrete coupled with life cycle assessment: Review and perspective. Cem. Concr. Compos. 139, 105041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.105041

Li, Z., Xue, W., Zhou, W., 2023. Mechanical Properties of Concrete with Different Carya Cathayensis Peel Biochar Additions. Sustainability 15, 4874. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15064874

Libre, N.A., Khoshnazar, R., Shekarchi, M., 2010. Relationship between fluidity and stability of self-consolidating mortar incorporating chemical and mineral admixtures. Constr. Build. Mater. 24, 1262–1271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2009.12.009

Lothenbach, B., Scrivener, K., Hooton, R.D., 2011. Supplementary cementitious materials. Cem. Concr. Res. 41, 1244–1256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.12.001

Lu, W., Yuan, H., 2013. Investigating waste reduction potential in the upstream processes of offshore prefabrication construction. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 28, 804–811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.048

Maljaee, H., Madadi, R., Paiva, H., Tarelho, L., Ferreira, V.M., 2021a. Incorporation of biochar in cementitious materials: A roadmap of biochar selection. Constr. Build. Mater. 283, 122757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.122757

Maljaee, H., Paiva, H., Madadi, R., Tarelho, L.A.C., Morais, M., Ferreira, V.M., 2021b. Effect of cement partial substitution by waste-based biochar in mortars properties. Constr. Build. Mater. 301, 124074. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.124074

Mensah, R., Shanmugam, V., Narayanan, S., Razavi, N., Ulfberg, A., Blanksvärd, T., Sayahi, F., Simonsson, P., Reinke, B., Försth, M., Sas, G., Sas, D., Das, O., 2021. Biochar-Added Cementitious Materials—A Review on Mechanical, Thermal, and Environmental Properties. Sustainability 13, 9336. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169336

Moeini, M.A., Hosseinpoor, M., Yahia, A., 2022. Yield stress of fine cement-based mortars: Challenges and potentials with rotational and compressional testing methods. Constr. Build. Mater. 314, 125691. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.125691

Molino, A., Chianese, S., Musmarra, D., 2016. Biomass gasification technology: The state of the art overview. J. Energy Chem. 25, 10–25. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2015.11.005

Mostert, C., Bock, J., Sameer, H., Bringezu, S., 2022. Environmental Assessment of Carbon Concrete Based on Life-Cycle Wide Climate, Material, Energy and Water Footprints. Materials (Basel). 15, 4855. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15144855

Muthukrishnan, S., Gupta, S., Kua, H.W., 2019. Application of rice husk biochar and thermally treated low silica rice husk ash to improve physical properties of cement mortar. Theor. Appl. Fract. Mech. 104, 102376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tafmec.2019.102376

Muzyka, R., Misztal, E., Hrabak, J., Banks, S.W., Sajdak, M., 2023. Various biomass pyrolysis conditions influence the porosity and pore size distribution of biochar. Energy 263, 126128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.126128

Naqi, A., Jang, J.G., 2019. Recent Progress in Green Cement Technology Utilizing Low-Carbon Emission Fuels and Raw Materials: A Review. Sustainability 11, 537. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11020537

Oliveira, I., Blöhse, D., Ramke, H.-G., 2013. Hydrothermal carbonization of agricultural residues. Bioresour. Technol. 142, 138–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.04.125

Pandey, D.S., Katsaros, G., Lindfors, C., Leahy, J.J., Tassou, S.A., 2019. Fast Pyrolysis of Poultry Litter in a Bubbling Fluidised Bed Reactor: Energy and Nutrient Recovery. Sustainability 11, 2533. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11092533

Pastor-Villegas, J., Meneses Rodríguez, J.M., Pastor-Valle, J.F., Rouquerol, J., Denoyel, R., García García, M., 2010. Adsorption–desorption of water vapour on chars prepared from commercial wood charcoals, in relation to their chemical composition, surface chemistry and pore structure. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 88, 124–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2010.03.005

Pavkov, I., Radojčin, M., Stamenković, Z., Bikić, S., Tomić, M., Bukurov, M., Despotović, B., 2022. Hydrothermal Carbonization of Agricultural Biomass: Characterization of Hydrochar for Energy Production. Solid Fuel Chem. 56, 225–235. https://doi.org/10.3103/S0361521922030077

Petit, J.-Y., Khayat, K.H., Wirquin, E., 2009. Coupled effect of time and temperature on variations of plastic viscosity of highly flowable mortar. Cem. Concr. Res. 39, 165–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2008.12.007

Pravina Kamini G., Tee, K.F., Gimbun, J., Chin, S.C., 2023. Biochar in cementitious material—A review on physical, chemical, mechanical, and durability properties. AIMS Mater. Sci. 10, 405–425. https://doi.org/10.3934/matersci.2023022

Qin, Y., Pang, X., Tan, K., Bao, T., 2021. Evaluation of pervious concrete performance with pulverized biochar as cement replacement. Cem. Concr. Compos. 119, 104022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2021.104022

Qing, L., Zhang, H., Zhang, Z., 2023. Effect of biochar on compressive strength and fracture performance of concrete. J. Build. Eng. 78, 107587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.107587

Qu, Z., Liu, Z., Si, R., Zhang, Y., 2022. Effect of Various Fly Ash and Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Content on Concrete Properties: Experiments and Modelling. Materials (Basel). 15, 3016. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15093016

Rubio-Hernández, F.J., Adarve-Castro, A., Velázquez-Navarro, J.F., Páez-Flor, N.M., Delgado-García, R., 2020. Influence of water/cement ratio, and type and concentration of chemical additives on the static and dynamic yield stresses of Portland cement paste. Constr. Build. Mater. 235, 117744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117744

Senadheera, S.S., Gupta, S., Kua, H.W., Hou, D., Kim, S., Tsang, D.C.W., Ok, Y.S., 2023. Application of biochar in concrete – A review. Cem. Concr. Compos. 143, 105204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2023.105204

Sikarwar, V.S., Zhao, M., Clough, P., Yao, J., Zhong, X., Memon, M.Z., Shah, N., Anthony, E.J., Fennell, P.S., 2016. An overview of advances in biomass gasification. Energy Environ. Sci. 9, 2939–2977. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ee00935b

Sirico, A., Belletti, B., Bernardi, P., Malcevschi, A., Pagliari, F., Fornoni, P., Moretti, E., 2022. Effects of biochar addition on long-term behavior of concrete. Theor. Appl. Fract. Mech. 122, 103626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tafmec.2022.103626

Sirico, A., Bernardi, P., Sciancalepore, C., Vecchi, F., Malcevschi, A., Belletti, B., Milanese, D., 2021. Biochar from wood waste as additive for structural concrete. Constr. Build. Mater. 303, 124500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.124500

Tan, X.-F., Zhu, S.-S., Wang, R.-P., Chen, Y.-D., Show, P.-L., Zhang, F.-F., Ho, S.-H., 2021. Role of biochar surface characteristics in the adsorption of aromatic compounds: Pore structure and functional groups. Chinese Chem. Lett. 32, 2939–2946. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2021.04.059

Tayeh, B.A., Alyousef, R., Alabduljabbar, H., Alaskar, A., 2021. Recycling of rice husk waste for a sustainable concrete: A critical review. J. Clean. Prod. 312, 127734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127734

Ting, L., Qiang, W., Shiyu, Z., 2019. Effects of ultra-fine ground granulated blast-furnace slag on initial setting time, fluidity and rheological properties of cement pastes. Powder Technol. 345, 54–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.12.094

Tomosawa, F., Noguchi, T., Tamura, M., 2005. The Way Concrete Recycling Should Be. J. Adv. Concr. Technol. 3, 3–16. https://doi.org/10.3151/jact.3.3

Waheed, Q.M.K., Nahil, M.A., Williams, P.T., 2013. Pyrolysis of waste biomass: investigation of fast pyrolysis and slow pyrolysis process conditions on product yield and gas composition. J. Energy Inst. 86, 233–241. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743967113Z.00000000067

Wang, Q., Chu, D., Luo, C., Lai, Z., Shang, S., Rahimi, S., Mu, J., 2022. Transformation mechanism from cork into honeycomb–like biochar with rich hierarchical pore structure during slow pyrolysis. Ind. Crops Prod. 181, 114827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.114827

Wang, T., Zhai, Y., Zhu, Y., Li, C., Zeng, G., 2018. A review of the hydrothermal carbonization of biomass waste for hydrochar formation: Process conditions, fundamentals, and physicochemical properties. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 90, 223–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.071

Wei, D., Dave, R., Pfeffer, R., 2002. Mixing and Characterization of Nanosized Powders: An Assessment of Different Techniques. J. Nanoparticle Res. 4, 21–41. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020184524538

Yang, X., Wang, X.-Y., 2021. Hydration-strength-durability-workability of biochar-cement binary blends. J. Build. Eng. 42, 103064. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.103064

Zaid, O., Alsharari, F., Ahmed, M., 2024. Utilization of engineered biochar as a binder in carbon negative cement-based composites: A review. Constr. Build. Mater. 417, 135246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2024.135246

Zhou, Z., Wang, J., Tan, K., Chen, Y., 2023. Enhancing Biochar Impact on the Mechanical Properties of Cement-Based Mortar: An Optimization Study Using Response Surface Methodology for Particle Size and Content. Sustainability 15, 14787. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014787