

2-LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS AND ANTI-AUTOMORPHISMS ON REAL AND COMPLEX AW*-ALGEBRAS

KH. A. NAZAROV^{1*}, S. M. KHOLBEKOVA² AND ZABIDIN SALLEH³

¹Department of Mathematics, Fergana State University, Fergana, Uzbekistan; Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers National Research University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; hasanbek.nazarov@mail.ru. ²Department of Mathematics, Fergana Polytechnic Institute, Fergana, Uzbekistan. ³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Ocean Engineering Technology and Informatics, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia; zabidin@umt.edu.my

*Corresponding author: hasanbek.nazarov@mail.ru

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 24 May 2023

Accepted 26 July 2023

Available online 30 June 2023

Section Editor:

Zainidin Eshkuvatov

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on 2-local automorphisms and anti-automorphisms on real and complex matrix algebras over unital real and complex Banach algebras. It is found that any 2-local anti-automorphisms on AW*-algebra without finite type I direct summands is an anti-automorphism. It is also found that any 2-local automorphisms on real AW*-algebra without finite type I direct summands is an automorphism.

Keywords:

Matrix algebra;
real AW*-algebra;
automorphism;
anti-automorphism;
2-local homomorphism.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L10, 46L37

© UMT Press

INTRODUCTION

Let N be an $*$ -algebra. A linear operator $\alpha: N \rightarrow N$ is called a $*$ -automorphism (respectively, a $*$ -antiautomorphism), if $\alpha(a^*) = \alpha(a)^*$ and $\alpha(ab) = \alpha(a)\alpha(b)$ (respectively, $\alpha(ab) = \alpha(b)\alpha(a)$), for all $a, b \in N$. Each unitary (or invertible) element $u \in N$ implements a $*$ -automorphism Adu on N defined as $Adu(a) := uau^{-1}$, $a \in N$. Such $*$ -automorphisms are said to be *inner $*$ -automorphisms*. A linear map $\Theta: A \rightarrow A$ is called a *local $*$ -automorphism* (respectively, a *local $*$ -antiautomorphism*), if for every $a \in N$, there is a $*$ -automorphism (respectively, a $*$ -antiautomorphism) α_a of N such that $\Theta(a) = \alpha_a(a)$. Now, a map $\Theta: A \rightarrow A$ (not linear in general) is called a *2-local $*$ -automorphism* (respectively, a *2-local $*$ -antiautomorphism*), if for every $a, b \in N$, there exists a $*$ -automorphism (respectively, a $*$ -antiautomorphism) $\alpha_{a,b}: N \rightarrow N$ such that $\Theta(a) = \alpha_{a,b}(a)$ and $\Theta(b) = \alpha_{a,b}(b)$. If in the definition of a 2-local $*$ -automorphism (respectively, a $*$ -antiautomorphism) we require linearity of mapping Θ , then, it is easy to demonstrate that it becomes a $*$ -automorphism (respectively, a $*$ -antiautomorphism). Moreover, it is also obvious that, for $n \geq 3$, n -local $*$ -automorphisms (respectively, $*$ -antiautomorphisms) are $*$ -automorphisms (respectively, $*$ -antiautomorphisms).

In [1] R.V. Kadison studied the concept of local derivations and demonstrated that local derivations of von Neumann algebras (i.e. W^* -algebras) are derivations. Building on this, B.E. Johnson, in his study [2], generalised this result for C^* -algebras. D.Larson and A.Sourour in [3] introduced

and considered the notion of local automorphisms, proving that local automorphisms on $B(X)$ are automorphisms, where X represents an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space and $B(X)$ denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . For real C*- and W*-algebras, the works of U. Karimov, such as [4], considered local derivations and local *-automorphisms. In [5] P. Semrl investigated 2-local automorphisms, specifically describing their properties on $B(H)$, where H is the infinite-dimensional separable complex Hilbert space and $B(H)$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . Later, in [6], the same description was extended to the finite-dimensional case. A real analogue of these results was partially obtained in [7], where H is a real Hilbert space.

This paper focuses on 2-local automorphisms and anti-automorphisms on real and complex matrix algebras over unital real and complex Banach algebras. The results demonstrated that any 2-local anti-automorphism on a (complex) AW*-algebra without finite type I direct summands is an anti-automorphism. Additionally, this paper establishes that any 2-local automorphism on real AW*-algebra without finite type I direct summands is an automorphism.

It should be noted here that the study of 2-local anti-automorphisms, generally speaking, cannot be reduced to the study of 2-local automorphisms. Indeed, if $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ is some orthonormal basis for H , then, $\text{map } j: \sum \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha \rightarrow \sum \bar{\lambda}_\alpha e_\alpha$ is the conjugation of H , and hence, we can construct a sufficient many (continuum) of pairwise non-conjugate involutive anti-automorphisms $J(\cdot)^* J$ of C*- and AW*-algebras. Therefore, it suffices for us to consider a 2-local anti-automorphism Θ such that for any x and y there exists conjugation $J = J_{x,y}$ with $J^2 = 1$ (or $J^2 = -1$) and $\Theta(x) = Jx^*J$, $\Theta(y) = Jy^*J$. It is clear that the study of Θ cannot be reduced to the study of 2-local automorphisms.

Next, it is essential to note that there are examples of non-trivial 2-local automorphisms and anti-automorphisms. Let A be a subalgebra of $M_3(\mathbb{C})$, generated by elements (matrices) I, E_{12}, E_{13} , where I is a unit, and E_{12}, E_{13} are the matrix units of algebra $M_3(\mathbb{C})$. It is directly shown that a general form of automorphism of algebra A is the linear extension of θ acting on these elements as follows:

$$\theta(I) = I, \quad \theta(E_{12}) = aE_{12} + bE_{13}, \quad \theta bE_{13} = cE_{12} + dE_{13}, \quad \text{where } ad - bc \neq 0.$$

The mapping $\Theta: A \rightarrow A$ is defined as:

$$\Theta(a_{11}I + a_{12}E_{12} + a_{13}E_{13}) = a_{11}I + a^3_{12}E_{12} + a^3_{13}E_{13}.$$

It's obvious that Θ is non-linear, thus, not an automorphism. But it is a 2-local automorphism. Let $A = a_{11}I + a_{12}E_{12} + a_{13}E_{13}$ and $B = b_{11}I + b_{12}E_{12} + b_{13}E_{13}$. If A and B are linearly dependent, then, it is not difficult to find the desired automorphism. If A and B are linearly independent, then, their images $\Theta(A)$ and $\Theta(B)$ are also linearly independent. Moreover, the elements:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{13} \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a^3_{12} \\ a^3_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b^3_{12} \\ b^3_{13} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

are bases for \mathbb{C}^2 . Then there is a non-singular change of the basis matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{13} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^3_{12} \\ a^3_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{12} \\ b_{13} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b^3_{12} \\ b^3_{13} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, there is an automorphism $\beta_{A,B}$ of A , defined by a, b, c, d , such that $\beta_{A,B}(A) = \Theta(A)$ and $\beta_{A,B}(B) = \Theta(B)$.

PRELIMINARIES

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . The weak (operator) topology on $B(H)$ is the locally convex topology, generated by the seminorm of the form: $\rho(a) = |(\xi, a\eta)|$, $\xi, \eta \in H, a \in B(H)$. A weakly closed $*$ -subalgebra M containing identity operator 1 in $B(H)$ is called a W^* -algebra. Recall that W^* -algebras are also called von Neumann algebras. The centre $Z(M)$ of a W^* -algebra M is the set of elements of M , commuting with each element from M . Elements of $Z(M)$ are called central elements. A W^* -algebra M is called a factor, if the centre consists of the complex multiples of 1 , i.e. $Z(M) = \{\lambda 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

Let A be a Banach $*$ -algebra over field \mathbb{C} . Algebra A is called a C^* -algebra, if $\|xx^*\| = \|x\|^2$, for any $x \in A$. A real Banach $*$ -algebra R is called a real C^* -algebra, if $\|xx^*\| = \|x\|^2$ and an element $1+xx^*$ is invertible for any $x \in R$. It is easy to see that R is a real C^* -algebra if and only if a norm on R can be extended onto the complexification $A = R + iR$ of algebra R so that algebra A is a C^* -algebra (see [8, 5.1.1]). A real $*$ -subalgebra is called a real W^* -algebra if it is closed in the weak operator topology, $1 \in R$ and $R \cap iR = \{0\}$. The smallest complex W^* -algebra M containing R coincides with its complexification, $R+iR$ i.e. $M = R+iR$. We say that a real W^* -algebra R is of the type $I_{fin}, I_\infty, II_\lambda, III_\lambda$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) if the enveloping W^* -algebra $R+iR$ has the corresponding type in the ordinary classification of W^* -algebras.

Now, recall that some definitions and facts from the theory of complex and real AW^* -algebras (see [9]). Let A be a real or complex $*$ -algebra. Let S be a nonempty subset of A . We consider the sets

$$R(S) = \{x \in A \mid sx = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}, L(S) = \{x \in A \mid xs = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$$

Set $R(S)$ is called the right-annihilator of S and set $L(S)$ is called the left-annihilator of S . A $*$ -algebra A is called a Baer $*$ -algebra if for $\forall S \subset A, S \neq \emptyset, R(S) = gA$ for an appropriate projection g . Since $L(S) = (R(S^*))^* = (hA)^* = Ah$, the definition is symmetric and can be given in terms of the left-annihilator and a suitable projection h , here $S^* = \{s^* \mid s \in S\}$. A complex (respectively, real) C^* -algebra A , which is a Baer $*$ -algebra is called an AW^* -algebra (respectively, real AW^* -algebra). It is easy to show that any W^* -algebra is an AW^* -algebra (see [9], Proposition 9, page 24). In [10], the author showed that the converse is not true. As is known that if R is a real C^* - or W^* -algebra, then, its complexification $R+iR$ is C^* - or W^* -algebra, respectively. However, this is not true for real AW^* -algebras, i.e., there exists a real AW^* -algebra for which the complexification is not an AW^* -algebra [11, Proposition 4.2.3]. The theory of C^* -, AW^* - and W^* -algebras can also be found in detail in the works [8], [9], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], and [16].

2-LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS AND ANTI-AUTOMORPHISMS ON REAL AND COMPLEX AW^* -ALGEBRAS

Recall that if A is an algebra and $\theta: A \rightarrow A$ is a linear map with the property $\theta(xoy) = \theta(x)o\theta(y)$, then, θ is called a Jordan $*$ -automorphism, where $xoy = (xy + yx)/2$ is the Jordan multiplication. It is obvious that if $\theta(x^2) = \theta(x)^2$ (for all x), then, by the linearity of θ , we obtain $\theta(xy+yx) = \theta(x)\theta(y) + \theta(y)\theta(x)$ and hence, θ is a Jordan $*$ -automorphism. It is easy to show that if Θ is 2-local $*$ -automorphism (respectively, $*$ -anti-automorphism) of A , then, $\Theta(x^2) = \Theta(x)^2, \forall x \in A$. Therefore, if 2-local $*$ -automorphism (respectively, $*$ -anti-automorphism) θ is linear, then, θ is a Jordan $*$ -automorphism.

The main result of this article is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. If A is a complex (respectively real) AW^* -algebra without finite type I direct summands, then every 2-local anti-automorphism of A is an anti-automorphism. In the real AW^* -algebra case, it is assumed that its complexification is an AW^* -algebra, every 2-local automorphism of A is an automorphism.

In order to prove the theorem, the representation of the real AW^* -algebra in the form of matrix algebras over a unital real Banach algebra is used, which has the following properties.

(J): if α is a Jordan automorphism of A , then, there is a decomposition $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ of A with 1) the map $A \check{A}x \rightarrow p_1(\alpha(x)) \in A_1$ being a homomorphism; and, 2) the map $A \check{A}x \rightarrow p_2(\alpha(x)) \in A_2$ being an anti-homomorphism. Here, p_i is a projection from A onto A_i ($i = 1, 2$).

(M): $\exists x, y \in A$ with $xy = 0$ and $yx \neq 0$.

As shown in [17], for any unital complex Banach algebra A with properties (J) and (M), every 2-local automorphism of $M_{2^n}(A)$ is an automorphism, where $M_{2^n}(A)$ is the algebra of 2^n -th order matrix over algebra A .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 from [176] can be done in a similar way for 2-local anti-automorphisms of $M_{2^n}(A)$, and moreover, this proof without changes passes for real Banach algebras as well. Therefore, we can formulate this result for real Banach algebras.

Theorem 3.2. If A is a unital complex (respectively, real) Banach algebra with the properties (J) and (M), then any 2-local anti-automorphism (respectively, 2-local automorphism) on $M_{2^n}(A)$ is an anti-automorphism (respectively, automorphism).

In order to describe 2-local anti-automorphisms and automorphisms on complex and real AW^* -algebras, we apply Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Firstly, by Theorem 3.3 from [18] (see also Theorem 3.2.3 [15]), every C^* -algebra, and hence, AW^* -algebra, has the property (J). Then, any real C^* -algebra, and hence, real AW^* -algebra, also has the property (J). Indeed, let A be a real AW^* -algebra and let θ be a Jordan automorphism on A . We extend it by linearity to the enveloping C^* -algebra $A_c = A + iA$ as $\Theta(x + iy) = \theta(x) + i\theta(y)$. It can be shown directly that Θ is also Jordan automorphism of A_c . Then, the algebra A_c has a decomposition $A_c = A_c^1 \oplus A_c^2$, such that the map $A_c \check{A}x \rightarrow p_1(\Theta(x)) \in A_c^1$ is a homomorphism, and the map $A_c \check{A}x \rightarrow p_2(\Theta(x)) \in A_c^2$ is an anti-homomorphism. Here, $p_i: A_c \rightarrow A_c^i$ is a projection ($i=1, 2$). Then, for $A_i = A_c^i \cap A$ ($i = 1, 2$) the algebra A also has a decomposition $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ such that the map $A \check{A}x \rightarrow q_1(\theta(x)) \in A_1$ is a homomorphism, and the map $A \check{A}x \rightarrow q_2(\theta(x)) \in A_2$ is an anti-homomorphism, here, $q_i = p_i|_{A_i}$ is a projection: $A \rightarrow A_i$ ($i = 1, 2$).

By Proposition 4.4.3 [11,] any non-abelian real AW^* -algebra can be decomposed along a central projection into the direct sum of real AW^* -algebras of types I_n , $n \geq 2$, I_∞ , II and III . According to the conditions of the theorem, A is an algebra without finite I -type direct summands. Hence, there are mutually orthogonal central projections $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in A$ with $A = z_1A \oplus z_2A \oplus z_3A$. Here, algebras $A = z_1A, z_2A$ and z_3A have types I_∞, II and III , respectively.

Similarly, following the scheme of the proof of Lemmas 4.5; 4.12 [1] and using the methods developed in [11, §§4.3-4.7], and also applying the result to each term, it is implied that element $z_i \in z_iA$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) can be represented as a sum of orthogonal, mutually equivalent projections $e_1^{(i)}, e_2^{(i)}, e_3^{(i)}, e_4^{(i)} \in z_iA$ ($i=1, 2, 3$). Put $e_k = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_k^{(i)}, k=1, 4$. Hence, the mapping $x \rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^4 e_i x e_j$ defines an isomorphism:

$A \rightarrow M_4(B)$, where $B = e_{1,1} A e_{1,1}$. It is not difficult to show that algebras $B + iB$ and B are complex and real C^* -algebras, respectively. According to [9, Proposition 8 (iii), 23p.], for any projection $e \in A_c$, algebra eA_e is an AW^* -algebra, as $A_c = A + iA$ is an AW^* -algebra. Then, algebra $B = e_{1,1} A e_{1,1}$ is also

a real AW*-algebra (see Proposition 4.3.1[11]). Moreover, its complexification $B+iB = e_{1,1}Ae_{1,1}$ is also an AW*-algebra. Hence, B has the property (J). Moreover, B also satisfies the condition (M), as it contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $M_2(\mathbb{R})$. For instance, for matrices:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $yx \neq 0$, $xy \neq 0$. Then, according to Theorem 3.2, every 2-local automorphism of A is an automorphism. Similarly, in complex cases, algebra B also has the properties (J) and (M). Hence, again, according to Theorem 3.2, every 2-local anti-automorphism of A is an anti-automorphism. Theorem proved.

Remark 3.1. According to the condition of the theorem, we require that the complexification $A+iA$ is an AW*-algebra. In addition, the definition of a real C*-algebra requires the condition that an element $1+xx^*$ is invertible (for all x). But in [9] (see Exercise 14A), this condition is absent, i.e., the reversibility of element $1+xx^*$ is not required.

Therefore, naturally, the following problem arises.

Problem: Suppose that A is a real Baer *-ring. Let:

- (a) A be a real Banach *-algebra such that $\|xx^*\| = \|x^2\|$, ($x \in A$), or,
- (b) A be a real AW*-algebra for which its complexification is not necessarily an AW*-algebra.

Then, is every 2-local automorphism (anti-automorphism) of A an automorphism (respectively, an anti-automorphism)?

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kadison, R. V. (1990). Local derivations. *Journal of Algebra*, 130(2), 494-509. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(90\)90095-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(90)90095-6)
- [2] Johnson, B. E. (2000). Local derivations on C*-algebras are derivations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 353(1), (2000), 313-325. <https://www.ams.org/journals/tran/2001-353-01/S0002-9947-00-02688-X/S0002-9947-00-02688-X.pdf>
- [3] Larson, D. R., & Sourour, A. R. (1990). Local derivations and local automorphisms. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, 51, 187-194. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/051.2>
- [4] Karimov, U. (2020). On the local derivations on real W*-algebras. *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics*, 4, 23-28.
- [5] Semrl, P. (1997). Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 125(9), 2677-2680.
- [6] Kim, S. O. & Kim, J. S. (2004). Local automorphisms and derivations on M_n . *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 132, 1389-1392.

- [7] Rakhimov, A. A., Nazarov, Kh. A., & Khoshimov, A. S. (2020). 2-Local *-automorphisms on real W^* -algebra $B(H)$. *Uzbek Mathematical Journal*, 4, 111-116. DOI: 10.29229/uzmj.2020-4-12
- [8] Li, B.-R. (2003). *Real operator algebras* (pp. 241). World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.
- [9] Berberian, S.K. (1972). *Baer *-rings* (Vol. 195). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- [10] Dixmier, P. J. (1951). Sur certains espaces considérés par M. H. Stone (*). *Summa Brasiliensis Mathematicae*, 2, 151-182.
- [11] Ayupov, Sh. A., & Rakhimov, A. A. (2010). *Real W^* -algebras, Actions of groups and Index theory for real factors* (pp. 138). Beau-Bassin, Mauritius: VDM Publishing House Ltd.
- [12] Ayupov, Sh. A., Rakhimov, A. A., & Usmanov, Sh. M. (1997). *Jordan, real and lie structures in operator algebras* (pp. 235). Springer Dordrecht.
- [13] Dadakhodjaev, R. A., & Rakhimov, A. A. (2021). 2-Local derivations of real AW*-algebras are derivation. *Positivity*, 25, 1351-1356.
- [14] Rakhimov, A. A., & Nurillaev, M. E. (2018). On property of injectivity for real W^* -algebras and JW-algebras. *Positivity*, 22, 1345-1354.
- [15] Brattelli, O., & Robinson, D. (2002). *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics* (2nd ed., pp. 517). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- [16] Takesaki, M. (1979). *Theory of operator algebras I*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [17] Ayupov, Sh. A., & Kudaybergenov, K. K., & Kalandarov, T. (2019). 2-local automorphisms on AW*-algebras. In *Positivity and Noncommutative Analysis*. Birkhäuser Cham.
- [18] Stormer, E. (1965). On the Jordan structure of C^* -algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 120, 438-447.